arXiv:hep-ph/9604213v1 2 Apr 1996

OCHA-PP-75

Induced Light-Quark Yukawa Couplings as a probe of Low-Energy Dynamics in QCD

M. R. Ahmady

Department of Physics, Ochanomizu University,

1-1, Otsuka 2, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, Japan.

V. Elias, A. H. Fariborz, R. R. Mendel[1]

Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Western Ontario,

London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5B7.

April 1, 1996

Abstract

We examine the heavy-quark-induced Yukawa interaction between light quarks and a light Higgs field, which is facilitated in the chiral limit by the dynamical mass of light quarks anticipated from the chiral-noninvariance of the QCD vacuum. A low-energy estimate of the strong coupling near unity can be obtained from a comparison of the explicit perturbative calculation of the induced Yukawa interaction at zero momentum to a Higgs-low-energy theorem prediction for the same interaction.

---

[1] Deceased

The effective coupling of zero-momentum Higgs particles to nucleons is well-known [1, 2] to be approximately of magnitude $70MeVN_h/\langle\phi\rangle$, where N_h is the number of heavy quark flavours, and where $\langle\phi\rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field. Although this result is physical only if the mass of the Higgs is light compared to F_{ew}, the electroweak scale, we can nevertheless use it at the quark level to probe the strong coupling at low energies.

In this paper we consider the coupling of a zero momentum Higgs particle to light quarks to get insight into the magnitude of the low-energy QCD coupling. In other words, we augment QCD with a light (*gedanken*) Higgs boson in order to explore nonperturbative QCD dynamics. Specifically, we compare Higgs-low-energy theorem predictions appropriate for light quarks to the explicit perturbative calculation of the Yukawa interaction induced via heavy-quarks. This comparison provides an estimate of the QCD coupling characterizing the latter calculation. In both cases, we work in the chiral limit in which the light quark is assumed to have no tree-level Yukawa interaction, but is assumed to obtain mass dynamically via the chiral noninvariance of the QCD vacuum [3, 4, 5]. For the low-energy theorem calculation of the light-quark Yukawa interaction, this assumption implies an effective quark mass proportional to Λ_{QCD}, leading to a calculation identical in form to the low-energy-theorem prediction [2] for the Higgs-nucleon interaction. For the explicit perturbative calculation, we consider a mass function suggested by Holdom [6] that falls off with momentum with asymptotic Λ^3/p^2 behaviour. The comparison of the quark-level low-energy theorem to the explicit quark-level calculation suggests a low energy value for α_s near unity, as anticipated from chiral symmetry breaking [3, 5] and other low-energy considerations [7].

To begin the perturbative quark-level calculation, we first consider the coupling of the zero 4-momentum Higgs field to two gluons that is induced by a heavy quark triangle (Figure 1), with p corresponding to the external gluon momentum [8]. The triangle is given by the following tensor:

$$I^{ab}_{\mu\nu} = 2c\delta^{ab} Tr \int d^n k \frac{(\not k + M)\gamma_\mu(\not k - \not p + M)^2\gamma_\nu}{(M^2 - k^2)\left[M^2 - (k-p)^2\right]^2}, \tag{1}$$

with

$$c = \frac{-i\alpha_s M}{8\pi^3 \langle\phi\rangle}, \tag{2}$$

In (1) and (2), M is the heavy quark mass, α_s is $g_s^2/(4\pi)$, and $\langle\phi\rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field. Thus, the parameter c contains the dimensionless Yukawa coupling between heavy quarks and the Higgs field. The factor of 2 preceding the integral in (1) accounts for the two possible directions for the fermion arrows in Fig. 1. The denominator in (1) can be combined via the usual Feynman parameterization, so as to obtain ($k' \equiv k - px, p^2 \leq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} I^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) &= 16Mc\delta^{ab} \int d^n k' \int_0^1 dx \frac{4k'_\mu k'_\nu + 4p_\mu p_\nu (x^2 - x) + (p^2 + M^2 - p^2x^2 - k'^2)g_{\mu\nu}}{\left[M^2 + p^2(x^2 - x) - k'^2\right]^3}, \\ &= \frac{2\alpha}{\pi\langle\phi\rangle}\delta^{ab}(p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}) \left[\frac{M^2}{p^2} + \frac{2M^4}{p^4\sqrt{1 - \frac{4M^2}{p^2}}} ln\left|\frac{\tau_+}{\tau_-}\right|\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with

$$\tau_\pm \equiv 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4M^2}{p^2}}. \tag{4}$$

The transversality of this expression ensures gauge-parameter independence of light-quark Yukawa couplings induced via Figure 2. Two limits of interest are the limits of infinite mass and infinite momentum:

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} I^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{-\alpha}{3\pi\langle\phi\rangle}\delta^{ab}(p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}), \tag{5}$$

$$\lim_{p^2\to-\infty} \left[\frac{M^2}{p^2} + \frac{2M^4}{p^4\sqrt{1 - \frac{4M^2}{p^2}}} ln\left|\frac{\tau_+}{\tau_-}\right|\right] = 0. \tag{6}$$

Equation (5) illustrates the well-known result that for very heavy quarks, the quark triangle is independent of the heavy quark mass [1, 2], suggesting that heavy flavours c, b, and t will generate equivalent contributions to the Yukawa interaction induced via Figure 2. This property ensures that the contribution of Figure 2 to the light-quark Yukawa interaction will be proportional to the number of heavy flavours, as anticipated from the Higgs-nucleon interaction discussed at the begining of this paper. Substitution of (6) into (3) demonstrates that the quark triangle will serve as a cut-off in integrals over the gluon momentum of Figure 2, ensuring a finite renormalization-independent result. In other words, the induced Yukawa interaction is an infrared effect.

The Yukawa coupling to light quarks induced via Figure 2 may be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{ind}(k) = \int \frac{d^4p}{i(2\pi)^4} g_s T_a \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{-(\not{k}-\not{p}) + \Sigma_{QCD}[(k-p)^2]} g_s T_b \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{p^2} I^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) \frac{1}{p^2}, \\ \equiv C(k^2)\not{k} + B(k^2). \qquad (7)\end{aligned}$$

To evaluate this expression, we find it convenient to express the heavy quark triangle (3) in the following form:

$$I^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{4\alpha_s M^2}{\pi\langle\phi\rangle}\delta^{ab}(p_\mu p_\nu - p^2 g_{\mu\nu}) \int_0^1 dy \frac{y}{p^2 - \frac{M^2}{y(1-y)}}. \qquad (8)$$

Let us first suppose that the light quark's mass term is constant. In this case we must replace the factor $\Sigma_{QCD}[(k-p)^2]$ in the denominator of the integrand in (7) with a hard mass denoted by m_{dyn}. We then find that the induced Yukawa interaction is given by

$$B(k^2) = \frac{4\alpha^2 m_{dyn}}{\pi^2\langle\phi\rangle} \int_0^1 dy y^2(1-y) \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{1-x_1} dx_2 \frac{1}{x_1 + y(1-y)x_2\left[\frac{m^2_{dyn}}{M^2} + \frac{k^2(1-x_2)}{M^2}\right]}, \qquad (9)$$

and for $m_{dyn} \ll M$

$$B(0) \to \frac{2\alpha_s^2}{3\pi^2\langle\phi\rangle} m_{dyn}\left[ln(\frac{M}{m_{dyn}}) + \frac{5}{6}\right]. \qquad (10)$$

This induced Yukawa interaction is seen to be characterized by a mass that is suppressed by a factor of $2\alpha_s^2[ln(M/m_{dyn}) + 5/6]/(3\pi^2)$ relative to the hard (dynamical) light-quark mass. However, this estimate tells us very little–the presence of the large logarithm in (10) necessarily indicates sensitivity of the Feynman integral to the ultraviolet region, in which case one cannot assume that the factor α_s^2 appearing in (10) characterizes exclusively low-energy dynamics.

We now consider the more realistic case in which $\Sigma_{QCD}[(k-p)^2]$ is interpreted as a dynamical mass function generated by the chiral noninvariance of the QCD vaccuum. This self-energy is expected to fall off like $1/(k-p)^2$ for large $|k-p|$. Since this dynamical mass decreases sharply with increasing momentum, the Yukawa interaction induced via Figure 2 is more sensitive to the infrared portion of the integration region, corresponding to the low-energy region for α_s. We utilize the phenomenological self-energy proposed by Holdom [6]

$$\Sigma_{QCD}(p^2) = \frac{(A+1)\Lambda^3}{A\Lambda^2 - p^2}, \tag{11}$$

in conjunction with (8), in order to obtain the following expressions for $C(k^2)$ and $B(k^2)$ in (7):

$$C(k^2)\not{k} = \frac{4\alpha_s^2 M^2}{3i\pi^4\langle\phi\rangle}\int_0^1 y\,dy\int d^4p\frac{\gamma_\mu(\not{p}-\not{k})\left[A\Lambda^2-(p-k)^2\right]^2\gamma_\nu(p^\mu p^\nu - p^2 g^{\mu\nu})}{\left[(p-k)^2\left[A\Lambda^2-(p-k)^2\right]^2-(A+1)^2\Lambda^6\right]p^4\left(p^2-\frac{M^2}{y(1-y)}\right)}, \tag{12}$$

$$B(k^2) = \frac{4\alpha_s^2 M^2}{3i\pi^4\langle\phi\rangle}\int_0^1 y\,dy\int d^4p\frac{\gamma_\mu(A+1)\Lambda^3\left[A\Lambda^2-(p-k)^2\right]\gamma_\nu(p^\mu p^\nu - p^2 g^{\mu\nu})}{\left[(p-k)^2\left[A\Lambda^2-(p-k)^2\right]^2-(A+1)^2\Lambda^6\right]p^4\left(p^2-\frac{M^2}{y(1-y)}\right)}. \tag{13}$$

We can easily evaluate these integrals numerically when $k^2 = 0$, the quark's Lagrangian-mass shell in the chiral limit. Upon performing the Wick rotation $d^4p \rightarrow id^4p_E = i\pi^2 x dx$, we find that

$$C(0)=\frac{2\alpha^2M^2(A+1)^2\Lambda^6}{\pi^2\langle\phi\rangle}\int_0^1 ydy\int_0^\infty dx\frac{3x^2+4A\Lambda^2x+A^2\Lambda^4}{[x(A\Lambda^2+x)^2+(A+1)^2\Lambda^6]^2\left(x+\frac{M^2}{y(1-y)}\right)}, \tag{14}$$

$$B(0)=\frac{4\alpha^2M^2(A+1)\Lambda^3}{\pi^2\langle\phi\rangle}\int_0^1 ydy\int_0^\infty dx\frac{A\Lambda^2+x}{[x(A\Lambda^2+x)^2+(A+1)^2\Lambda^6]\left(x+\frac{M^2}{y(1-y)}\right)}. \tag{15}$$

Choosing $A=2$ and $\Lambda=317MeV$ [6], we find that

$$B(0)=62\alpha_s^2MeV/\langle\phi\rangle. \tag{16}$$

In the chiral limit, there is no Lagrangian mass term for the light quark, and the $C(k^2)\not{k}$ is expected (via Lagrangian field equations) to annihilate an external light quark spinor $u(k)$ when $k^2=0$. However, the contribution of $C(0)$ to the induced Yukawa interaction is seen to be small even if we go so far as to identify $\not{k}$ with an order-$300MeV$ dynamical mass. Specifically, we find $C(0)=0.051\alpha_s^2/\langle\phi\rangle$ when $A=2$ and $\Lambda=317MeV$, in which case $C(0)m_{dyn}[\approx 15\alpha_s^2MeV/\langle\phi\rangle]$ is still substantially smaller than $B(0)$. [2]

Thus, we find from (16) that the effective mass characterizing the induced Yukawa interaction between a zero-momentum light quark and a zero-momentum Higgs to be of order $60\alpha_s^2MeV$. Inclusion of a dynamical mass shell [i.e., adding $C(0)m_{dyn}$ to $B(0)$] would increase this estimate to about $77\alpha_s^2MeV$. We reiterate that the light quarks are assumed to possess only dynamical mass contributions–the Lagrangian Yukawa coupling to light quarks is assumed to be zero, consistent with the chiral limit.

We now compare (16) to the Yukawa coupling anticipated via Higgs Boson low-energy theorems. The relevant low-energy theorem between matrix elements $A\rightarrow B$ and $A\rightarrow B+Higgs$ is given by [2]

$$\lim_{p_{Higgs}\rightarrow 0}M(A\rightarrow B+Higgs)=\frac{N_h\alpha_s^2}{3\pi\langle\phi\rangle}\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha_s}M(A\rightarrow B), \tag{17}$$

---

[2] See N. C. A. Hill and V. Elias [9] for a discussion as to the validity of renormalization on mass shells of dynamical (non-Lagrangian) origin.

where N_h is the number of heavy quarks. If A and B are both identified with constituent u or d quarks, then the physical matrix element $M(A \to B)$ is just the dynamical quark mass m_{dyn}, which is clearly proportional to Λ_{QCD}. To one loop order,

$$\alpha_s = \frac{(\pi d)}{ln(\frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2_{QCD}})}, \tag{18}$$

with $d = 4/9$ for three light quark flavours. Consequently, one finds that if $m_{dyn} \equiv \kappa\Lambda_{QCD}$, then

$$\frac{\partial m_{dyn}}{\partial \alpha_s} = \kappa\frac{\partial \Lambda_{QCD}}{\partial \alpha_s} = \frac{\kappa(\pi d)\Lambda_{QCD}}{2\alpha_s^2} = \frac{(\pi d)m_{dyn}}{2\alpha_s^2}. \tag{19}$$

Substitution of this result into the low-energy theorem for light-quark (q) higgs couplings yields the result

$$\lim_{p_{Higgs}\to 0} M(q \to q + Higgs) \equiv g_{Hqq}|_{induced} = \frac{2N_h m_{dyn}}{27\langle\phi\rangle}, \tag{20}$$

corresponding, for $N_h = 3$ (c,b,t) to a Yukawa coupling characterized by a mass of about $70MeV$.[3]

We see that comparison of (20) and (16) suggests a low-energy value for α_s near unity, consistent with criticality arguments for chiral symmetry breaking [3, 5] as well as with the freeze-out of the strong coupling near unity discussed by Mattingly and Stevenson [7]. There are, of course, concerns that such a large value of α_s will destroy the validity of the perturbative expansion. There is some reason to believe, however, that the relevant expansion parameter near criticality of α_s is $\alpha_s N_c/4\pi$ [10], perhaps providing some additional supression of higher-order contributions. Note also that a comparison of (20) to the hard dynamical-mass result (10) for each heavy quark flavour would suggest a smaller value for

---

[3] This is precisely the same mechanism used by Dawson and Haber [2] to predict the Higgs-nucleon-nucleon coupling, as the chiral limit of the nucleon mass is also assumed to be proportional to Λ_{QCD}. Replacing the dynamical quark mass with the nucleon mass in (19) leads to Dawson and Haber's prediction for the Higgs-nucleon-nucleon coupling of order $200MeV/\langle\phi\rangle$.

α_s ($\alpha_s \approx 1/2$), but this value cannot be exclusively identified with the low energy region of hadron physics, as has already been discussed.

Moreover, we see from (20) that the mass associated with the induced Yukawa coupling to light quarks via heavy quark loops is considerably larger in magnitude than the current (Lagrangian) mass usually assumed for light quarks.[4] One can also apply the low energy theorem (17) to examine whether or not Yukawa couplings to heavy quarks (Q) are similarly characterized by enhanced masses (masses substantially larger than those in the Lagrangian):

$$\lim_{p_{Higgs}\to 0} M(Q \to Q + Higgs) \equiv \triangle g_{HQQ} = \frac{N_h \alpha_s^2}{3\pi\langle\phi\rangle}\frac{\partial m_Q}{\partial \alpha_s}. \tag{21}$$

The heavy quark mass m_Q is not proportional to Λ_{QCD}, but depends on α_s via the relationship

$$m_Q(p^2) = \frac{\hat{m}}{\left[ln\left(\frac{p^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}\right)\right]^{d'}} = \hat{m}\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi d}\right)^{d'}, \tag{22}$$

where $\hat{m}$ is a renormalization-group invariant quark mass, and where $d' \equiv 12/(33 - 2n_f)$. Substituting (22) into (21), we find that

$$\triangle\, g_{HQQ} = \frac{N_h \alpha_s d' m_Q}{3\pi\langle\phi\rangle}, \tag{23}$$

corresponding to an enhancement of at most 14% over the Lagrangian Yukawa coupling $m_Q/\langle\phi\rangle$ if $\alpha_s \approx 1$.

In conclusion, we find that a comparison of the low-energy-theorem Yukawa interaction, characterized by a mass of $70 MeV$, to an explicit perturbative calculation of the same interaction, characterized approximately by a mass between $60\alpha_s^2 - 80\alpha_s^2\ MeV$, suggests that the strong coupling at low energies is surprisingly close to unity.

---

[4] Enhancement mechanisms for the current quark mass characterizing some phenomenological applications are discussed in ref. [11].

We are grateful for extensive discussions with V. A. Miransky, and to the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support. Work of M. A. is supported by the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science. We also note with sorrow that R. R. Mendel, who initiated this research, was actively pursuing its resolution at the time of his tragic death last August.

References

[1] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978), 443.

A. I. Vainshtein, V. I. Zakharov, and M. A. Shifman, Sov. Phys. Ups. **23** (1980), 429.

L. B. Okun, *Leptons and Quarks* (North Holand, Amsterdam,1984), pp. 230-231.

[2] S. Dawson and H. Haber, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992), 107.

[3] K. Higashijima, Phys. Rev. **D29** (1984), 1228.

[4] K. Lane, Phys. Rev. **D10** (1974), 2605.

H. D. Politzer, Nucl. Phys. **B117** (1976), 397.

H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979), 3080.

H. Pagels and S. Stokar, Phys. Rev. **D20** (1979), 2948.

V. Elias and M. D. Scadron, Phys. Rev. **D30** (1984), 647.

[5] P. Fomin, V. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky and Yu. Sitenko, Riv. Nuovo Cim **6** (1983), 1.

J. E. Mandula and J. Weyers, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984), 59.

[6] B. Holdom, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992), 2534.

[7] A. C. Mattingly and P. M. Stevenson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992), 1320.

[8] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39** (1977), 1304.

J. Ellis, M. K. Gaillard, D. V. Nanopoulos and C. T. Sachradja, Phys. Lett. **B83** (1979), 339.

T. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. **D22** (1980), 178.

[9] N. C. A. Hill and V. Elias, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. **A9** (1994), 181.

[10] T. Appelquist, J. Terning, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Yale University Preprint YCTP-P2-96 [hep-ph/9602385].

[11] V. Elias, Can. J. of Phys. **71** (1993), 347.

Figure Captions:

Fig.1 Heavy quark triangle.

Fig.2 Coupling of Higgs to light-quark via the heavy quark triangle.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9604213v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9604213v1